In Vitro and In Vivo Synergistic Activities of Linezolid Combined with Subinhibitory Concentrations of Imipenem against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
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Indifference or moderate antagonism of linezolid combined with other antibiotics in vitro and in vivo have mainly been reported in the literature. We have assessed the in vitro activities of linezolid, alone or in combination with imipenem, against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains using the dynamic checkerboard and time-kill curve methods. Linezolid and low concentrations of imipenem had a synergistic effect, leading us to evaluate the in vivo antibacterial activity of the combination using the rabbit endocarditis experimental model. Two MRSA strains were used for in vivo experiments: one was a heterogeneous glycopeptide-intermediate clinical *S. aureus* strain isolated from blood cultures, and the other was the *S. aureus* COL reference strain. Animals infected with one of two MRSA strains were randomly assigned to one of the following treatments: no treatment (controls), linezolid (simulating a dose in humans of 10 mg/kg of body weight every 12 h), a constant intravenous infusion of imipenem (which allowed the steady-state concentration of about 1/32 the MIC of imipenem for each strain to be reached in serum), or the combination of both treatments. Linezolid and imipenem as monotherapies exhibited no bactericidal activity against either strain. The combination of linezolid plus imipenem showed in vivo bactericidal activity that corresponded to a decrease of at least 4.5 log CFU/g of vegetation compared to the counts for the controls. In conclusion, the combination exhibited synergistic and bactericidal activities against two MRSA strains after 5 days of treatment. The combination of linezolid plus imipenem appears to be promising for the treatment of severe MRSA infections and merits further investigations to explore the mechanism underlying the synergy between the two drugs.

The prevalence of bacterial pathogens resistant to the available antibiotics has been increasing over the past several decades. This situation constitutes a major challenge for clinicians and microbiologists and is particularly acute for the treatment of infections caused by gram-positive organisms. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is an increasingly common cause of nosocomial infections, and the reduced susceptibilities of MRSA strains to glycopeptides emphasize the need for new therapeutic options for clinical practice (17).

Linezolid is an antimicrobial agent from the oxazolidinone class with potent activity against multidrug-resistant gram-positive pathogens, such as vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium*, penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and MRSA strains (46). Oxazolidinones are bacterial protein synthesis inhibitors that act by preventing the formation of the translation initiation complex (2, 38, 39). This mechanism of action is unique to this class of antimicrobials, with the benefit that cross-resistance with other antimicrobial agents has not yet been observed. Linezolid displays nonbactericidal, time-dependent activity in vitro against *staphylococci* (22, 36).

Antimicrobial combination therapy may be used to provide broad-spectrum coverage, prevent the emergence of resistant mutants, and obtain a synergy between both antimicrobial agents (10). Because bactericidal activity is considered important in the treatment of severe infections, such as endocarditis, the use of linezolid as monotherapy appears to be problematic and the use of combinations is recommended. Recently published studies have investigated the in vitro activities of linezolid in combination with different antimicrobial agents (15, 16, 18). From the results of those in vitro studies, no synergistic combination that included linezolid in combination with gentamicin, vancomycin, rifampin, ciprofloxacin, fusidic acid, or fosfomycin was observed. In fact, a trend for antagonism was noted when linezolid was combined with gentamicin (with the effect mainly being on the early bactericidal activity of the aminoglycoside), vancomycin, ciprofloxacin, and fosfomycin. In this context, new investigations into the effects of drugs in combination with linezolid may be worthwhile.

Imipenem is a broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotic active against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. This drug is resistant to hydrolysis by most β-lactamases and exhibits a postantibiotic effect against gram-positive bacteria (27, 30). Although imipenem does not exhibit bactericidal activity against MRSA strains, many studies have reported on its efficacy when it is used in combination with other antimicrobial agents, including fosfomycin (11, 28), vancomycin (4, 35, 41), and cephalosporins (43). In this context, the use of unconven-
tional combinations of drugs may prove to be an effective strategy for the management of MRSA infections (23).

The purposes of this study were (i) to evaluate the in vitro activities of linezolid combined with imipenem against MRSA strains and (ii) to determine the in vivo antibacterial effects of the combination by using the rabbit model of experimental endocarditis.

(This work was presented in part at the 43rd Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Chicago, Ill., 14 to 17 September 2003.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. Three MRSA strains (strains BCB8 and COL and a hetero-
genous glycopeptide-intermediate S. aureus [hGISA] strain) and one meth-
cillin-susceptible S. aureus strain (ATCC 29213) were used in this study. The
BCB8 and hGISA strains were isolated from blood cultures. ATCC 29213 and
COL were the reference strains.

Antibiotics. Linezolid (research compound; Pharmacia Upjohn, Kalama-zoo, Mich.), imipenem-cilastatin (clinical form; Merck Sharp & Dohme, Paris,
France), and vancomycin and teicoplanin (clinical forms; Lilly, Saint Cloud,
France) were provided by the manufacturers.

Medium. Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth (Becton Dickinson, Le Pont de Clayes,
France) supplemented with calcium (25 mg/liter) and magnesium (12.5 mg/liter)
was used for susceptibility tests and killing curve experiments. Colony counts
were determined with MH agar plates (Becton Dickinson).

Susceptibility testing. The MICs of linezolid, vancomycin, teicoplanin, and
imipenem for the four strains were determined in cation-supplemented MH
broth by the microdilution technique (1, 29). Overnight MH broth cultures were
used to prepare inocula of 10^6 CFU/ml. The MIC was defined as the lowest
concentration of antimicrobial agent that prevented turbidity after 24 h of incu-
bation at 37°C.

Checkerboard method. The dynamic checkerboard method was performed in
96-well microtiter plates (Nalge Nunc International, Roskilde, Denmark) con-
taining linezolid and imipenem at twofold dilutions dispensed in a checkerboard
fashion (12). The final concentrations of both drugs (twofold dilutions) ranged
from 1/8 to 8 times the MIC for linezolid and from 1/1,024 to 1 time the MIC for
imipenem. Antibiotic dilutions were made in broth. Overnight cultures were
used to yield a final inoculum of 5 x 10^8 to 1 x 10^9 CFU/ml. After inoculation
and agitation, the microplates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Dilutions were
made at 24 h by a micromethod with a multipipette. A total of 100 µl was
removed from the first row of the microplate (M₄) and placed in the first row of
a second microplate (M₅). Then, serial dilutions were performed in M₅ by
taking 25 µl from the first row and placing it into 75 µl of diluent (MH broth) in
the second row, and so on. The transfers were made by aspiration-compression
with a multipipette. This operation was carried out for each row of M₅ such that
a different microplate, Mᵢ, corresponded to each row. The contents of each
microplate were then subcultured with a Steers multiple inoculator. The plates
were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Readings were then performed, and the number
of bacteria was equal to the number of colonies observed multiplied by the final
dilution coefficient. All results are expressed as log₁₀ CFU per milliliter.

Time-kill curve studies. For each strain, linezolid was studied at the MIC alone
and in combination with imipenem at 1/512 and 1/32 the MIC. Time-kill curve
studies were performed in MH broth in glass flasks with an inoculum of 5 x 10^6
to 1 x 10^7 CFU/ml in the presence of a single antibiotic or the combination of
both antibiotics (31). A flask of inoculated MH broth with no antibiotic served as
a control. The surviving bacteria were counted at 0, 3, 6, and 24 h of incuba-
tion at 37°C by subculturing 50-µl serial dilutions (in 0.9% sodium chloride) onto
MH plates with a spiral plater (Spiral system; Interscience, Saint-Nom-La-
Bretèche, France). The detection limit was 20 CFU/ml. A bactericidal effect was
defined as a ≥3-log₁₀ CFU/ml decrease after 24 h of incubation compared to the
size of the initial inoculum. Synergism was defined as a decrease in the colony
count of ≥2 log₁₀ CFU/ml with the combination compared to the count obtained
with the most active single drug. Antagonism was defined as an increase in the
colony count of ≤2 log₁₀ CFU/ml with the combination compared to the count
taken with the most active single antibiotic (24).

Endpoints. The animals used for the endocarditis model were female
New Zealand White rabbits (weight, 2.0 to 2.5 kg) housed in individual cages.
They had free access to food and water. Studies with the experimental endocar-
ditis model were performed as described previously (9, 33). All animal experi-
mentation was approved by the Committee of Animal Ethics of the University of

RESULTS

Susceptibility testing. The MICs for the S. aureus strains are summarized in Table 1. All strains were susceptible to linezolid. The MICs of vancomycin and teicoplanin were in-
creased for the hGISA strain. The other strains were suscep-
tible to glycopeptides.

Dynamic checkerboard method. The dynamic checkerboard method was performed to evaluate the interaction of linezolid in combination with imipenem. The results for strains ATCC
29213, BCB8, COL, and hGISA at 24 h are shown in Fig. 1.

Imipenem alone was active against the methicillin-suscepti-
ble S. aureus strain (ATCC 29213) at concentrations close to the
MIC. The addition of increasing concentrations of lin-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>ATCC 29213</th>
<th>BCB8</th>
<th>COL</th>
<th>hGISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ezolid decreased the antibacterial activity of imipenem, and antagonism was observed with imipenem concentrations of 1/8 to 1 time the MIC and linezolid concentrations from 1 to 8 times the MIC. For the MRSA strains, synergy was observed with linezolid (from the MIC) and low concentrations of imipenem. The use of higher concentrations of imipenem (i.e., concentrations close to the MIC) seemed to decrease the antibacterial activity of the combination.

Time-kill studies. The results of the time-kill studies are shown in Fig. 2. As expected from the results described above, no synergy was observed with linezolid and imipenem against methicillin-susceptible strain ATCC 29213. Linezolid (at the MIC) combined with low concentrations of imipenem (1/32 the MIC) showed synergy against all the MRSA strains tested.

Endocarditis model. The results of the in vivo study are shown in Table 2. Linezolid significantly reduced the counts of both strains compared to those of the controls but failed to exhibit bactericidal activity when it was used alone, despite 5 days of treatment. The imipenem regimens (15 and 100 mg/kg/day) showed no or very low levels of activity against these MRSA strains. The combination exhibited bactericidal and synergistic activities against both MRSA strains, with a 5-log_{10} CFU/g decrease compared to the counts for the controls.

Antibiotic concentrations in serum. After administration of a linezolid dose that simulated a 10-mg/kg dose in humans, the corresponding mean peak concentration, area under the curve, and half-life were 11.9 ± 1.1 mg/liter, 76.3 ± 5.9 mg · h/liter, and 2.7 ± 0.1 h, respectively, after administration of the first dose and 21.5 ± 1.3 mg/liter, 152.1 ± 9.2 mg · h/liter, and 3.4 ± 0.7 h, respectively, at day 5. For the imipenem-treated animals, the steady-state concentrations were 0.45 ± 0.2 and 2.30 ± 0.9 mg/liter for the 15- and 100-mg/kg/day regimens, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Linezolid plays an important role in the treatment of infections due to MRSA strains (46). Despite the reported low frequencies of mutation to linezolid in vitro, the development of resistance among both Enterococcus (3, 14, 21) and Staphylococcus (42, 45) species strains during linezolid therapy has been described previously. Moreover, nosocomial transmission
of linezolid-resistant strains remains a possibility (34). Combination therapies that include linezolid could be used (i) to protect the ability of linezolid to be used in the future in particular and the oxazolidinone class of antimicrobial agents in general and (ii) to increase the early in vivo activity of the drug. Many in vitro studies with combinations that include linezolid have been published, but only a few studies have investigated the activities of linezolid in combination with other antimicrobial agents in vivo (6, 7, 20). Although those studies showed the efficacy of linezolid in combination with rifampin or gentamicin, the search for effective and bactericidal combinations (including linezolid) for the treatment of severe multiresistant *S. aureus* infections is ongoing.

The first part of this study evaluated the in vitro antibacterial activity of linezolid combined with imipenem. Two laboratory methods were used to determine the interactions between the drugs.

Time-kill curve experiments are frequently used to assess that activities of antimicrobial combinations in vitro; however, the number of antimicrobial concentrations and combinations that can be tested are limited. Therefore, we used the dynamic checkerboard method as a screen to determine the pertinent linezolid and imipenem concentrations to be tested by the time-kill curve method. We found that sub-MICs of imipenem in combination with linezolid showed the maximal bactericidal activity. The use of higher drug concentrations seemed to decrease the antibacterial activity of the combination, as was observed against BCB8 and COL strains (Fig. 1), suggesting a slight antagonism between the drugs under these conditions. Interestingly, the linezolid and imipenem combination results

---

**FIG. 2.** Killing curves for linezolid alone and linezolid in combination with imipenem against ATCC 29213, BCB8, COL, and hGISA strains.

- , control; , linezolid at the MIC; , imipenem at 1/512 the MIC; , imipenem at 1/32 the MIC; , linezolid at the MIC plus imipenem at 1/512 the MIC; , linezolid at the MIC plus imipenem at 1/32 the MIC.
in an indifferent or antagonistic interaction against a methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* strain (ATCC 29213). Although only one methicillin-susceptible strain was tested in this study, this observation suggests that the synergy observed against MRSA strains may be related to methicillin resistance. Methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is mediated by a chromosomally located resistance determinant, mecA, which encodes a low-affinity penicillin-binding protein (PBP) named PBP 2a or PBP 2' (13). Matsuda et al. (25) showed that in MRSA strains imipenem preferentially binds to PBP 4 and PBP 1 and then to PBP 3 and PBP 2. Subinhibitory concentrations of imipenem could interfere with only one PBP and not all PBPs, as demonstrated in a study with *Escherichia coli* (37). Satta et al. (37) showed with *E. coli* that at low concentrations of imipenem only one PBP (PBP 2') was saturated and that at concentrations exceeding the MIC all PBPs were saturated. The effective concentrations of imipenem in this study (i.e., sub-MICs of about 1/8 to 1/512 the MIC) suggest that the classical PBP-binding-mediated activity of imipenem is probably not involved in the synergy between the two antimicrobial agents. Indeed, subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics could interfere with many critical processes, including phagocytosis (enhancement of bacterial susceptibility to host immune defenses), surface adherence, alteration of the bacterial cell wall, and toxin production. Several virulence-associated determinants of *S. aureus* are affected by low levels of different antibiotics in vitro (8). Studies are in progress to explore the mechanism of action involved in the synergy between linezolid and imipenem and to investigate the possible roles of PBPs and autolysins.

Among the potential antibiotics that can be combined with linezolid for the treatment of MRSA infections, imipenem is certainly not the most obvious choice because of its lack of activity against MRSA strains. Nevertheless, Garcia de la Maria et al. (11) reported on the efficacy of fosfomycin combined with imipenem against an MRSA strain in an experimental endocarditis model. Another study showed the efficacy of vancomycin in combination with imipenem against MRSA strains both in vitro and in vivo (41). Sweeney et al. (40) have investigated the in vitro activities of linezolid combined with 35 antimicrobial agents against staphylococci and enterococci using the checkerboard method and determination of the fractional inhibitory concentration. Although the combinations predominantly showed indifference against MRSA strains, linezolid combined with imipenem was synergistic against a vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* strain and linezolid plus amoxicillin was synergistic against three MRSA strains. These results reinforce the interest in β-lactam antibiotics combined with linezolid.

In vitro determination of drug-drug interactions is always difficult. Because of the lack of a correlation between different methods for assessment of the activities of antibiotic combinations, in vivo experimental models are required to confirm the interactions observed in vitro. The experimental endocarditis model is particularly useful for testing the in vivo activities of new drugs or antibiotic combination regimens. Computer-controlled simulation of linezolid in rabbits at concentrations that mimic the kinetic profile of the drug in humans was used in the present study owing to the very short spontaneous half-life of the drug in rabbits (about 30 min) (5).

The aim of using continuous imipenem infusion instead of intermittent dosing in this study was to obtain an in vivo steady-state concentration that mimics the in vitro conditions so that synergy was observed as soon as possible (i.e., to achieve a target concentration of 1/32 the MIC for each strain). Practical problems that must be considered with this type of treatment include the stability of the drug at room temperature during the infusion. The continuous infusion of imipenem is not often used because of its instability (44). To avoid stability problems, imipenem syringes were changed every 4 h in our animal model.

The purpose of the second part of this study was to evaluate the in vivo therapeutic efficacy of the combination of linezolid plus imipenem compared to that of either antibiotic used alone. In a previous study with linezolid in a rabbit model of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* endocarditis (19), we showed that linezolid significantly reduced the numbers of *S. aureus* cells in aortic valve vegetations but failed to exhibit a bactericidal effect, despite 5 days of treatment. The present study yielded the same results with linezolid therapy against both MRSA strains studied. Continuous infusion of imipenem showed no or a very low level of activity against both MRSA strains, confirming the failure of this agent alone against methicillin-resistant strains. The combination of linezolid plus imipenem exhibited bactericidal and synergistic activities against both MRSA strains, with at least a 4-log₁₀ CFU/g decrease compared to the counts for the controls.

Although the continuous infusion of imipenem was effective here, the activities of other imipenem dosages in combination with linezolid against MRSA strains need to be evaluated, including intermittent dosing (i.e., every 6 to 8 h) and the standard dosage (i.e., 2 to 4 g/day). Assessment of the in vitro and in vivo activities of linezolid with other β-lactam antibi-
ics (oxacillin, cefamandole, etc.) should be of great interest (i) to determine if the synergy with β-lactams is a general phenomenon or one specific to imipenem and (ii) to help understand the mechanism of action of the synergy between linezolid and imipenem drugs.

Conclusion. The present study demonstrates that linezolid in combination with subinhibitory concentrations of imipenem can be bactericidal against MRSA strains after 5 days of treatment. The concentrations of both antibiotics used are clinically achievable. Linezolid plus imipenem appears to be a promising combination for the treatment of severe MRSA infections and merits further investigation, especially to determine the mechanism of action involved in the synergistic interaction between these drugs. The clinical significance of these findings should be evaluated.
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